



Institutional Profile

Purpose

The SMART IRB Institution Profile captures institutional information that is **independent** of a specific protocol. An institution's SMART IRB Point of Contact (POC) should complete this profile to (1) document institutional, local, and state requirements that would apply to **all** protocols, so that a potential Reviewing IRB may refer to this profile (in conjunction with a completed SMART IRB Protocol-specific Document) during the ceding and review of a specific protocol; and, if applicable, to (2) document information about the institution and its IRB(s) so that potential Relying Institutions may refer to this profile when determining whether to cede IRB review to the institution for a protocol.

Instructions

1. An institution's POC should record the appropriate responses (and sub-responses) to each question.
 1. Complete each text box, as applicable.
 2. Select **one** appropriate response from each drop-down list.
 3. For each "yes" response, provide additional details, as applicable.
2. An institution's POC should update the information on this form as needed to ensure accuracy.

Notes

- **Institution Name(s).** Because other institutions may not be familiar with your institution, it is important to include all alternate names, abbreviations, and acronyms by which the institution may be known to avoid confusion and/or potential delays in approvals or correspondence.
- **Components.** When listing all components under your institution's FWA, indicate in the text field if the components are considered separate legal entities. HIPAA. A Reviewing IRB must know whether a Relying Institution is a covered entity under HIPAA for research activities to determine whether HIPAA regulations will apply for the institution. If your organization is a hybrid entity, where only some components and/or research activities are covered by HIPAA, mark this response as "Yes" and provide details regarding the hybrid status in the next question. A Reviewing IRB will need to know the following for any Relying Institution that must apply HIPAA:
 - Whether the institution will **always** require a stand-alone HIPAA authorization.
 - Whether the institution will **always** require a combined HIPAA authorization and informed consent document.
 - Whether the institution will leave the determination of a stand-alone or combined HIPAA authorization to the Reviewing IRB for a specific study.

- **Short form Consents.** You should indicate any institutional policy on the use of short forms for non-English speaking individuals (i.e., short forms are not used at all, short forms are not allowed for certain languages (e. g., Spanish), short forms are only allowable for minimal risk research). Potential Reviewing IRBs will use this information when determining if they have the capacity to serve as a Reviewing IRB for your institution.
- **Minors and Consent.** In addition to knowing the age of majority in a Relying Institution's state, a Reviewing IRB should be informed of specific situations when minors can consent for themselves (e.g., some states allow un-emancipated minors to consent to STD treatment/research).
- **State Laws and Local Requirements.** Provide details for any additional state laws and/or local requirements that would be applicable to all protocols, (e.g., IRB reporting requirements for all studies). If the state laws and/or local requirements would only apply to certain protocols (e.g., mandatory reporting to state health authorities, child abuse reporting, child pregnancy results), do not include this information in your SMART IRB Institutional Profile; instead, provide this information to the Reviewing IRB for an applicable protocol via the SMART IRB Study-specific Document.
- **Flexibility.** Many institutions have implemented flexibility options with regard to review and approval of research at their institutions. Examples of flexibility include declining to apply the regulations to non-federally funded research by "unchecking the box," establishing additional exempt categories, extending IRB approval dates, and/or expanding expedited review categories. If your institution has implemented flexibility options, provide details for the Reviewing IRB.
- **IRB Policies.** If your institution is willing to serve as a Reviewing IRB for another institution, it is important to identify how Relying Institutions may access your IRB policies (e.g., website links, upon request from the Relying Institution).
- **OHRP or FDA Investigations/Inspections.** A potential Reviewing IRB Institution should provide any publiclyavailable information related to investigations or inspections of the IRB that may be relevant to or influence another institution's decision whether to rely upon that IRB. Do not include information related to investigations or inspections of individual investigators at the IRB's institution.
- **Default HIPAA Privacy Board Allocation.** It is anticipated that a Reviewing IRB will also serve as the Privacy Board for all Relying Institutions; therefore, the answer to this question has been defaulted to "yes." If this is not the case for your institution/IRB, change the response to "no."
- **Review of Study Personnel.** Because processes for handling the review of study personnel can vary widely among Reviewing IRBs, it is important that potential Relying Institutions are aware of the process for any IRBs that require all study personnel be reviewed and approved by the Reviewing IRB.

Institutional Profile

All institutions should complete this form and update it as needed to ensure accuracy.

Institution Legal Name

Augusta University Research Institute, Inc.

List all other names by which the institution is known.

AU Medical Center, Inc. D/b/a Wellstar MCG Health Medical Center (Augusta, GA, US) Augusta University Research Institute, Inc (Augusta, GA, US

List all organizations that are considered components under this institution's FWA.

AU Medical Center, Inc. D/b/a Wellstar MCG Health Medical Center (Augusta, GA, US) Augusta University Research Institute, Inc (Augusta, GA, US

Identify any affiliations this site has, such as university, clinic, or hospital.

AU Medical Center, Inc. D/b/a Wellstar MCG Health Medical Center (Augusta, GA, US)
Augusta University Research Institute, Inc (Augusta, GA, US

a. If any of the sites are within a network or system, identify all sites with separate Federal Assurances (Please note that sites with separate Federal Assurances require separate SMART IRB accounts).

AU Medical Center, Inc. D/b/a Wellstar MCG Health Medical Center (Augusta, GA, US)
Augusta University Research Institute, Inc (Augusta, GA, US

Does your state law or institution require you to apply the Common Rule to all studies?

No

Does your state law or institutional policy require you to apply any of the subparts (B, C, or D) of the HHS regulations at 45 CFR part 46 that provide additional protections for certain populations in research to all studies, when applicable?

Yes

If yes, indicate the subpart(s) your institution applies:

B,C,D

Are there any local considerations (i.e., customs, beliefs, values, or practices of a distinct subject population(s)) that a Relying Institution could expect to be included in the research?

Provide any additional information that may affect the IRB's review of your institution. Some examples may be California Bill of Rights, Virginia law that requires application of the Common Rule to all research, restriction of any vulnerable populations, etc.

Identify the age of majority for your state or territory. For federal agencies or departments, please indicate the applicable age of majority.

Is your institution willing to serve as an IRB of record (Reviewing IRB) for other institutions?

Yes

Name of the institution's IRB(s).

IRB A 00000150 IRB B 00009178 IRB C 00009025

As a Reviewing IRB, would you be willing to serve as the Privacy Board for other institutions?

Yes

Contributing Authors

Kimberly Summers, PharmD

Director, Research Protection Programs

University of Texas Health at San Antonio (UT Health San Antonio)

Michele Russell-Einhorn, JD

Vice President, Human Research Protection Services and Institutional

Official Schulman IRB

Jeremy Corsmo, MPH

Senior Director, Research Compliance

Cincinnati Children's Hospital

Michelle Feige, MSW

Executive Vice President

Association for the Accreditation of Human Research Protection Programs (AAHRPP)

Claudia Grossman, PhD

Program Officer, Research Infrastructure

Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute (PCORI)

Andreas Klein, MD

Chair, Tufts Health Sciences IRB

Tufts Medical Center

Eric Mah, MPH

Executive Director, Clinical Research Operations

University of California, San Diego Health Sciences (UCSD Health Sciences)

Megan Singleton, JD, MBE, CIP

Director, Human Research Protection Program

Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine

Amy Waltz, JD, CIP

Associate Director, Human Subjects Office
Indiana University

SMART IRB Harmonization Steering Committee Leadership

Barbara E. Bierer, MD

Director of Regulatory Policy, SMART IRB
Co-chair, SMART IRB Harmonization Steering Committee

Valery Gordon, PhD, MPH

Division of Clinical Innovation, National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences (NCATS), National Institutes of Health
Co-chair, SMART IRB Harmonization Steering Committee

Aaron Kirby, MSc

Director, Regulatory Affairs Operations, Harvard Catalyst
Operations Officer, SMART IRB Harmonization Steering Committee